

*Madame Blavatsky enlightens
the sceptics of her Motherland*



Fragments of a truthful tale of the nineteenth century.

From *Blavatsky Collected Writings*, (THE THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY) XIII pp. 209-14.

The original Manuscript of this unfinished tale in H.P. Blavatsky's handwriting is in the Adyar Archives. Its Russian title is: *Teosoficheskoye Obshchestvo — Skazka-bil' XIX veka*. H.P. Blavatsky's sister, Madame Vera Petrovna de Zhelihovsky states¹ that such a tale was being written by Blavatsky shortly before her final illness, but that only a portion of the Introductory part was written; she also gives several brief excerpts from it.

An English translation of this incomplete tale, prepared by Zoltán de Álgya-Pap, a very scholarly Hungarian Theosophist, then resident at Adyar, was published in *The Theosophist*, Vol. 82, September 1961. Somewhat later, namely in 1962, the Theosophical Journal *Alba* edited in Boston, Mass., by two devoted Russian Theosophists, Nicholas Pavlovich von Reincke and his sister, Dagmara Pavlovna von Reincke, published the original Russian text of this tale, with the *facsimiles* of two pages thereof reproduced herewith. H.P. Blavatsky's text is a masterpiece of Russian prose, full of sparkling wit and vivid imagery.

Our English translation of this tale follows on the whole Mr. de Álgya-Pap's rendering, with a few alterations and improvements required by the Russian original wording. — *Boris de Zirkoff*.

*Source-material for the Future History of Psychism in the Darwinian Epoch.
Dedicated to the Sceptics of the Motherland.*

THERE IS SO MUCH NONSENSE, written and spoken, especially in Russia, concerning the Theosophical Society, which I personally planned and founded in New York on the 17th November 1875, that I have finally decided to enlighten my dear compatriots on the subject. Whether they believe me or not is, of course, left to them.

The story goes that Prince Bismarck, when he wished to conceal from the public any of his planned political tricks, the smooth unfoldment of which might be hampered if prematurely revealed, openly informed the public of his plans. In other words, the Iron Chancellor told the plain truth, and — nobody believed him. In like manner, I am about to tell the truth by stating the facts, knowing beforehand that the rules of criticism in a civilized country stand in the way of belief. On the contrary, reading my truthful account, based on almost unbelievable yet true facts, and acquainting themselves with the history of the Society which emerged almost instantaneously,

¹ *Russkoye Obozreniye*, Vol. VI, November 1891, pp. 275-78

without any preparation, and which from seven members, individuals unknown to the world, rapidly developed in a few years into a numerous “Brotherhood” covering the globe, like mushrooms after rain, with its “Lodges” — these wise critics will feel compelled to express their doubts. And even from my sympathizers I do not expect more than was written to me by the wife of a major serving in the Caucasus. She honoured me with the impression made upon her by my story about *The Mysterious Tribes of the Blue Mountains*, and ended her letter exclaiming: “Oh, what an inventive storyteller you are!”

Truth is often stranger than fiction.

Since 1881, I have written much about the Theosophical Society and its activities in India, first in “Letters to the Motherland” published in the *Moskovskiya Vedomosti*, and later in the *Russkiy Vestnik*, and what I have described has always been considered by the public as a “fabrication” of mine, particularly my account of the psychological constitution of the Hindus which, of course, is not to be found in statistical records and books on the British Colonies. My stories *From the Caves and Jungles of Hindostan*, left unfinished after the death of M.N. Katkov, were received by the public as a novel and plain *fiction*.¹ Really, it would be sensible to remember the wise remark of the English poet: “Truth is often stranger than fiction.” After all, to believe in nothing is, perhaps, more reasonable. The unbeliever has a more peaceful sleep and an easier life. To deny something is more comfortable than to accept on faith anything that has not yet obtained the right of citizenship in society, and by accepting which you are compelled to swim against the current of public opinion and common thinking. For this reason people will not believe me even now. Never mind! Just as Epictetus told his host — who, using his stick, had thrashed the sage for his advice — I shall tell my critics: “Strike, *but* listen.” And whatever happens then does not concern me, as grandmother used to say concerning the future: “That’s why.”

Is Cosmos nothing more than the progeny of tailless monkeys?

Public opinion in Russia, as anywhere else, is like a kaleidoscope in which the combination of figures change continually according to the movement of the hand holding it; or, in other words, the notion of what is possible or impossible, prudent or foolish, suitable or unsuitable, depends on some leaders of science and fashion who cause that public opinion to rotate like a weather-cock. That which we believed yesterday, we no longer believe today; and in both instances merely because the wind was blowing from a different direction. Even contemporary science, or rather its high priests, taught in the Middle Ages all that today they deny, and believe today in that which they ridiculed in those earlier days. Astrology, Alchemy and Magic are flung like rubbish into the attic of the Academies, while the circulation of the blood, steam-power and electricity, called by them not so long ago nonsensical, absurd fictions, are now seated in places of honour at their meetings. On the other hand, gentlemen-Academicians find themselves now compelled to believe in things at which only ten years ago they turned up their highly erudite noses in utter disdain; in things which fifty years ago were subjected to severe ostracism and banished from the holy precincts of the Academy — namely, *Mesmerism* and *Animal Magnetism*. At the present

¹ [See “Pages from the Caves and Jungles of Hindostan,” in the same series. — ED. PHIL.]

time both of these are flourishing under the mask of “suggestion” or “hypnotism.” And all this because our earth rotates, and human brains follow its movement. Before Galileo, scholars imagined the terrestrial globe as a flat pancake in the centre of the universe, while Pythagoras, some 2,000 years before Copernicus, taught the heliocentric conception. Our European scholars of the Middle Ages considered the Hindu allegory representing our Earth as resting upon four elephants standing on a turtle, wagging its short tail in empty universal space — as a sacred truth. Now they have become convinced that the earth is round, and that our planet is an insignificant little globe among billions of other and bigger planets. People used to think of themselves as Gods of this Earth, for whom the Cosmos had been created; but now science has convinced us that we are nothing more than the progeny of tailless monkeys, and are, together with these our wretched *cousins*, descendants of one and the same (however, as yet undiscovered) forefather — Adam with a tail. Long ago? Well, it was only yesterday that according to the authoritative teaching of Hæckel and of his friend Huxley, there sat at the very root of the genealogical tree of humanity the *Moneron*, hermit of the Ocean, a *jelly-like* blob considered by Darwinists as the *Alpha* of all flesh living on earth, and the *Omega* of which is man himself. This bit of jelly fished out of the depths of the sea by Huxley, was named in honour of his German colleague *Bathybius Hæckalii*, and Darwinists praised themselves profusely for their great discovery. “*Eureka!* The authentic seed of the human race has been discovered,” I was recently told by Romanes. And then what? . . . Today this candidate for human progenitor, put through strict chemical tests, proves to be a pinch of inorganic matter, simply sediment.

[Page 5 of the manuscript is missing.]

The fact that the founder of this allegedly *wonder-working* Society is a child born of the same stock, cannot fail to interest the Russian reader. And the further fact, namely, that this “child of their own” has earned for herself and the Society a worldwide, although rather mixed reputation, attracting to its fold, the best, the soundest, and often even the most learned *heads* (as will be proved later on) from many overseas countries hitherto hostile to the Russian spirit — is remarkable in itself and bound to produce a smile on the faces of our native patriots.

Until, however, the complete history of our “Brotherhood” will have been told to posterity, the readers and critics, hearing nothing about the Theosophical Society save gossip, have, of course, the most legitimate and logical right to think and judge of it according to their own fancy. Such is the spirit of the age. Hence, I provide them all a laugh at the “Mahatmas” of Tibet and India. Let all prudent sceptics see in them, judging from the stories told by the enemies of the Society, merely scarecrows made of muslin and bladders on long poles, Magicians soaring in the blue sky of India, and even flitting, as stated by eye-witnesses, in the fogs of England. Let’s laugh together at those hundreds of clever people, whom, in the opinion of the Society for Psychical Research, I so skilfully *fooled* with these *muslin*-Mahatmas! And let us remember that Hindu and antediluvian Magic, adepts and their phenomena, all included, are simply mystification and jugglery. So be it! However, it is not at all a matter of Magic . . . I can assure you that the Theosophical Society is left entirely untouched by the negation of “supernatural phenomena,” as no Theosophist, myself included, ever be-


lieved in anything “*supernatural*.” Still less can the existence of the Society be explained by means of such nonsensical and always exaggerated manifestations.

[Page 7 of the manuscript is missing.]

. . . [that this person], coming from the steppes and the banks of the Dnieper, without either house or home, social contacts or money, *suddenly had the idea and accomplished that* which none of you could. She just sent out a call in New York on the 7th of October 1875, and on the 17th of November of the same year, five weeks later, the Theosophical Society was founded with a few hundred members in America, and its first Branch established in London with 73 members. And from that day, simply by the touch of my hand, the avalanche began to roll onward. And since then it has rolled over the globe, and is still rolling even today growing not only from day to day, but from hour to hour. And this avalanche cannot be demolished either by the calumnies of the Society for Psychical Research or by mockeries or persecution. Why? Because, without any phenomena, this avalanche is — a power! And back of it is the power of *Truth*. This enigma cannot be cut down by the axe of the fiercest criticism; its footprints cannot be swept away by the broom of indifference and denial. Of what the essence of this power consists will be explained later. And then everybody will be able to see how little could phenomena influence the growth and success of the Theosophical Society, but on the contrary, how they could be harmful to it — if anything in the world could harm the coming of that predestined hour.

But all this is merely by way of introduction which, considering the many and varied tales afloat, I felt bound to make. Now, this being done . . .

[Not finished because of the death of H.P. Blavatsky on 26th April, 1891.]¹



¹ This remark, in a different handwriting and in black ink, was very probably written by Madame de Zhelihovskiy. The date which she gives is according to the Eastern Orthodox Calendar; it corresponded at that time to May 8th in the Western Calendar. — *Boris de Zirkoff*.

Suggested reading for students.



She being dead, yet speaketh.

- “Blavatsky about to unveil Isis”
- “Blavatsky against Ecclesiastical Christianity”
- “Blavatsky against Spiritualism”
- “Blavatsky cuts down to size a carping critic of heterodoxy”
- “Blavatsky defends Isis Unveiled”
- “Blavatsky expels a friend of Communists”
- “Blavatsky hated balls”
- “Blavatsky on a Case of Obsession”
- “Blavatsky on a Heavy Curse”
- “Blavatsky on an Intro- and retrospective dream”
- “Blavatsky on Animal Souls”
- “Blavatsky on Bulgarian Sun Worship”
- “Blavatsky on Christmas and the Christmas Tree”
- “Blavatsky on Elementals and Elementaries”
- “Blavatsky on foeticide being a crime against nature”
- “Blavatsky on Hindu widow-burning”
- “Blavatsky on Jesuitry in Masonry”
- “Blavatsky on Marriage, Divorce, and Celibacy”
- “Blavatsky on Nebo of Birs-Nimrud”
- “Blavatsky on Occult Alphabets and Numerals”
- “Blavatsky on Occult Vibrations”
- “Blavatsky on Old Age”
- “Blavatsky on old doctrines vindicated by new prophets”
- “Blavatsky on Plato’s Timæus”
- “Blavatsky on Progress and Culture”

- “Blavatsky on Religious deformities”
- “Blavatsky on Ritualism in Church and Masonry”
- “Blavatsky on Shambhala, the Happy Land”
- “Blavatsky on Spinoza and Western Philosophers”
- “Blavatsky on Sunday devotion to pleasure”
- “Blavatsky on Teachings of Eliphas Levi”
- “Blavatsky on the Boogeymen of Science”
- “Blavatsky on the Book of Enoch”
- “Blavatsky on the doomed destiny of the Romanovs”
- “Blavatsky on the elucidation of long-standing enigmas”
- “Blavatsky on the Harmonics of Smell”
- “Blavatsky on the hidden Esotericism of the Bible”
- “Blavatsky on the history and tribulations of the Zohar”
- “Blavatsky on the introversion of mental vision”
- “Blavatsky on the Key to Spiritual Progress”
- “Blavatsky on the knighted Oxford Sanskritist who could speak no Sanskrit”
- “Blavatsky on the Letters of Lavater”
- “Blavatsky on the Luminous Circle”
- “Blavatsky on the modern negators of Ancient Science”
- “Blavatsky on the Monsoon”
- “Blavatsky on the New Year and false noses”
- “Blavatsky on the New Year’s Morrow”
- “Blavatsky on the Qabbalah by Isaac Myer”
- “Blavatsky on the quenchless Lamps of Alchemy”
- “Blavatsky on the Rationale of Fasts”
- “Blavatsky on the Roots of Zoroastrianism”
- “Blavatsky on the Secret Doctrine”
- “Blavatsky on the Teachings of Eliphas Levi”
- “Blavatsky on the Vishishtadvaita Philosophy”
- “Blavatsky on Theosophy and Asceticism”
- “Blavatsky on whether the Rishis exist today”
- “Blavatsky rebuts unspiritual conceptions about God”
- “Blavatsky's last words”

BLAVATSKY SPEAKS SERIES
SUGGESTED READING FOR STUDENTS

- “Blavatsky's open letter to her correspondents”
- “Gems from the East”
- “Inductive reasoning leads to fake deductions”
- “Madame Blavatsky enlightens the sceptics of her Motherland”
- “Madame Blavatsky on the philosophical mind of the Chinese”
- “Obituary to Mikhail Nikiforovich Katkov”
- “Obituary to Pundit Dayanand Saraswati”
- “Open Letter to the American Section of the Theosophical Society”
- “Open Letter to the Archbishop of Canterbury”
- “Open Letters to the American Convention”
- “Pages from Isis Unveiled”
- “Pages from the Caves and Jungles of Hindostan”
- “Pages from The Secret Doctrine 1 - abridged”
- “Pages from The Secret Doctrine 2 - full text”
- “Pantheistic Theosophy is irreconcilable with Roman Catholicism”
- “Rosicrucianism was an offshoot of Oriental Occultism”
- “Rosicrucians emerged as an antidote to the material side of alchemy”
- “The Hermetic Fire of the mind is the key to the Occult Sciences”
- “The real meaning of the first line of Genesis”
- “The Secret Doctrine (1888) Vol. 1 of 2 on Cosmogogenesis”
- “The Secret Doctrine (1888) Vol. 2 of 2 on Anthropogenesis”
- “Thoth is the equivalent of Hermes and Moses”
- “Unpopular Philosopher on Criticism and Authorities”
- “Unpopular Philosopher on the Eighth Wonder”
- “Unpopular Philosopher on the Morning Star”
- “We are more often victims of words rather than of facts”
- “Without the revival of Aryan philosophy, the West will fall to even grosser materialism”

